Duciest		New England Clean Energy Connect - Natural Resource Map Data Requests for 12-11-2017			
Project Segment	Map Page	MDEP Request For Information	CMP Response		
1	1-117	Can the applicant use the entire ROW and move the line and structures to avoid wetland impacts			
_		If the answer to the question above is no, then would the project result in fewer impacts if it was			
1	1-117	located entirely on the north side of the ROW			
		The crossing of the Kennebec River at the gorge is over an Outstanding River Segment (38 M.R.S. §			
		480-P(8) and 12 M.R.S. §403). The applicant will need to demonstrate that no reasonable alternative			
		exists that would have less adverse effect upon the natural and recreational features of the river			
1	109	segment.			
		At the site visit on November 13, 2017 the applicant appeared to be working on a redesign of the			
		crossing which would reduce the number of structures near the Kennebec River, elevate the			
		conductors farther above the river, increase the undisturbed buffer along the river. Please provide			
		the new design as soon as possible and include photosimulations which show the view looking			
		directly into the corridor from the river. Also, quantify the vegetation that will need to be cut in the			
		"buffer" area of the Gorge, both during construction and maintenance activities. The Department			
		will need to have an understanding of the height of the conductors and the wire safety zone as well			
		as the height of the capable vegetation that currently exists. If vegetation will be removed in this			
1	109	area (through maintenance activities) we need to evaluate that.	CMP susbmitted photosimulations for a three structure crossing, see response to		
		There is construction access that crosses wetland 66-05 which is not needed. Structure 3006-S-418			
2	148	can be accessed from the west and 3006-S-417 can be accessed from the east			
		Structure 3006-S-399 could be accessed from the east, eliminating the road from 3006-S-400 and			
2	155-156	two wetland crossings			
		The construction road to 3006-S-396 could be extended to 3006-S-395 eliminating one wetland			
2	157	crossing			
2	160	The construction road to 3006-S-388 can be relocated to avoid a wetland crossing			
		The crossing of the Kennebec River below Wyman Dam is over an Outstanding River Segment (38			
2	100	M.R.S. § 480-P(8). The applicant will need to demonstrate that no reasonable alternative exists that			
3	166	would have less adverse effect upon the natural and recreational features of the river segment			
3	188	Impacts to wetland 85-01 could be minimized by utilizing an upland island			
3	190	Impacts to wetland 86-03 can be completely avoided if the access road goes around it.			
3	193	impacts to wetland 87-08 could be minimized by realigning the road			
3	194	impacts to wetland 88-04 could be minimized by realigning the road The crossing of the Carrabassett River is an Outstanding River Segment. The applicant needs to			
		demonstrate that no reasonable alternative exists that would have less adverse effect upon the			
n	100	natural and recreational features of the river segment. Also please provide photosimulations for this			
3	199	crossing, including simulations looking directly into the corridor. impacts to wetlands 96-02 &96-03 could be minimized by realigning the road			
3	212	impacts to wetland 91-07 could be reduced by accessing structure 3006-S-287 from the opposite			
n	202				
3	202 217	direction Impacts to wetlands 98-03, 98-04, & 98-05 could be minimized by realigning the road			
	217	Impacts to wetland 98-03, 98-04, & 98-05 could be minimized by realigning the road Impacts to wetland 98-06 could be minimized by realigning the road			
3		Crossing PSTR 99-05 is not in the crossing table			
3	220 221	Impacts to wetland 100-03 can be avoided by realigning the road			
		Impacts to wetlands 101-01 & 101-02 can be avoided by realigning the road			
3	223	Impacts to wetlands 101-01 & 101-02 can be avoided by realigning the road Impacts to wetland 102-04 and SVPs 102-02 & 102-03 could be minimized by realigning the road			
3	226	וווויוויווווווווווווווווווווווווווווו	l		

ssing, see response to comments folder

New England Clean Energy Connect - Natural Resource Map Data Requests for 12-11-2017				
Project				
Segment	Map Page	MDEP Request For Information	CMP Response	
		Impacts to wetland 103-07 could be avoided by using what appears to be an existing road that runs		
3	227	along the edge of the cleared ROW		
		Structure 3009-S-221 could be accessed using an existing road in the already cleared ROW and		
3	229	eliminate the crossing of wetland 104-01		
3	237	Impacts to wetland 107-06 could be avoided by realigning the road		
		The Sandy River in the location fo the proposed crossing is an Outstanding River Segment and the		
		applicant will need to demonstrate that no reasonable alternative exists that would have less		
		adverse effect upon the natural and recreational features in the river segment. Also please provide		
		photosimulations for this crossing including simulations that look directly into the corridor from the		
3	243	river.		
		Impacts to wetland 116-02 and PSVP 118-02 could be minimized by utilizing and existing road to		
3	261	access structure 3006-S-142 all the way through the habitats and then turning to the structure		
		Impacts to PSVP 119-03 could be minimized by utilizing an existing road to access structure 3006-S-		
3	264	135		
		Impacts to wetland 121-03 could be minimized by access structure 3006-S-126 from the opposite		
3	268	direction		
		Impacts to wetland 121-04 could be eliminated by access structure 3006-S-124 from Moose Hill Road		
3	269	and structure 3006-S-125 from the Turmel Road		
3	277	Impacts to wetland 125-06 could be avoided by realigning the road		
3	285	Impacts to wetland 129-02 could be avoided by realigning the road		
		Impacts to wetland 130-S-01 and PSVP 130-08 could be minimized by realigning the road and utilizing		
3	288	an existing road along the edge of the ROW to access structure 3006-S-79		
3	310	Impacts to wetland 140-06 could be avoided by realigning the road		
		Impacts to PSVP-140-04 could be minimized by straightening the road and utilizing the existing		
3	311	disturbed area along the edge of the cleared ROW		
		Impacts to wetland 143-01 could be reduced by accessing structure 3006-S-12 from an extension of		
3	316	the access road to structure 3006-S-11		
4	342	Impacts to wetlands 154-02 & 154-03 could be avoided by realigning the road.		
		impacts to wetland 159-08 could be minimized by realigning the access to structure 62-97 to an area		
4	354	outside the wetland		
4	356	Impacts to wetland 160-08 could be avoided by realigning the road		
		Impacts to wetland 161-16 could be minimized by relocating the road to structures 62-133, 64-258,		
4	358	62-122, & 64-238 to and area outside the wetland		
		Impacts to wetland 161-16 could be minimized by relocating the road to structures 64-260, 64-240,		
4	358	64-123, & 64-239 to and area outside the wetland		
		The center line of the project between structures 3027-207 and 3027-208 goes outside of the ROW		
5	366	owned by CMP		
		Impacts to wetland 183-01 could be minimized by utilizing an existing road to access structures 3027-		
5	370	189 and 3027-190		
		There is a road between structures 3027-142 and 3027-141 that does not appear to have any way to		
5	381-382	access it. Also the structure numbering in this section appears to be out of sequence		
		The road to structures 3027-57 through 3027-51 is between Cooper Road and Gardiner Road and		
5	405	impacts to wetland 167-01 could be minimized by eliminating the access from Cooper Road		
1	3	Structure within 21 feet of PSTR-00-10		

New England Clean Energy Connect - Natural Resource Map Data Requests for 12-11-2017			
Project			
Segment	Map Page	MDEP Request For Information	CMP Response
1	115	Structure within 3 feet of ISTR51-14	
1	35	Structure within 12 feet of ISTR-15-05	
1	26	Structure within 8 feet of ISTR-RR-11-04	
1	63	Structure within 5 feet of ISTR-SRDI-28-03	
1	13	Structure within 8 feet of PSTR-05-02	
1	100	Structure within 7 feet of PSTR-45-03	
1	86,87	Structure within 8 feet of PSTR-38-06	
1	63	Struture within 6 feet of PSTR-SRD1-28-01	
2	161, 162	Structure within 15 feet of ISTR-73-05	
2	162	Structure within 20 feet of ISTR-73-06	
2	159, 160	Structure within 1-foot of PSTR-72-103	
		Structure within 21 feet of ISTR-73-04 according to the xing table, but I could only locate ISTR-73-06	
2	162	which does have a structure near it	
2	148	Structure within 3 feet of ISTR-66-09	
2	149	Structure within 5 feet of ISTR-66-10	
2	131	Structure within 16 feet of ISTR-59-02	
3	289	Structure within 15 feet of ISTR-131-01	
3	307	Structure within 24 feet of ISTR-138-01	
3	321, 322	Structure within 8 feet of pSTR-145-01	
4	358	Structure within 15 feet of PSTR-161-01	
5	366	Structure within 23 feet of ISTR-185-03	
		Many of the distances to the nearest structure on Segment 5 are thousands of feet away. Are these	
		distances correct? There is one, the crossing of ISTR-188-01, that the closest structure is 15,388 feet	
5	MULTIPLE	away. How is this possible?	
		The West Branch of the Sheepscot River is over an Outstanding River Segment in the location of the	
		proposed crossing and the applicant will need to demonstrate that no reasonable alternative exists	
		that would have less adverse effect upon the natural and recreational features in the river segment.	
		Also please provide photosimulations for this crossing including simulations that look directly into	
5	414, 415, 416	the corridor from the river.	